UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 3172-106 7313		
10/527,686	10/07/2005	Lorne J. Brandes			
6449 ROTHWELL	7590 11/15/2007 FIGG, ERNST & MAN	EXAMINER			
1425 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			TEALE, MICHAEL J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			4133		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/15/2007	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTO-PAT-Email@rfem.com

•		Application No.		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary							
		10/527,686		BRANDES, LORNE J.			
	.cy	Examiner	_	Art Unit			
The MAIL IN	G DATE of this communication and	Michael J. Teale Ph	1	4133			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHICHEVER IS L  - Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS if NO period for reply is Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the	TATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication. specified above, the maximum statutory period we set or extended period for reply will, by statute, the Office later than three months after the mailing strent. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMI 16(a). In no event, however, rill apply and will expire SIX cause the application to be	MUNICATION , may a reply be time (6) MONTHS from the come ABANDONED	l. ely filed he mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status				•			
1) Responsive	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 March 2005</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	<b>3</b>						
4a) Of the ab 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☐ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s)		r					
Application Papers							
10) The drawing( Applicant may Replacement	tion is objected to by the Examiner s) filed on is/are: a) acce not request that any objection to the o drawing sheet(s) including the correcti leclaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) object drawing(s) be held in a on is required if the dr	abeyance. See rawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References 2) Notice of Professores			erview Summary ( per No(s)/Mail Dat				
	n's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) e Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) ∋	5) 🔲 Not	tice of Informal Pa ner:				

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-19 are drawn to a method of adjuvant chemotherapy in human patients with stage I or II breast cancer.

Group II, claims 20-21 are drawn to a method of achieving enhanced survival in human patients with stage I or II breast cancer.

The inventions listed in Groups I to II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical feature for the following reasons: The objectives, patient populations, and steps for each of the two methods are different in that the interactions of the compounds and steps of the Group I method, to provide adjuvant chemotherapy, is not the same as the Group II method of achieving enhanced survival (particularly since adjuvant chemotherapy as provided to a patient may not predictably provide "enhanced" survival per se). The method of Group I requires that the method be executed in a patient following surgical removal of the tumor, which is not required in the method of Group II.

Art Unit: 4133

Species Election

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic

invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as

to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Species A: diphenyl compounds of the formula as set out in claims 1-4 and 20

Species B: chemotherapeutic agent

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the

claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Should applicant

elect either of Groups I or II, applicant is to elect a Species A and a Species B; where applicant

elects a Species A, applicant is to define each and every variable of the formula set out in claims

1-4, or 20 such that a single disclosed species is elected, and where applicant elects a Species B,

applicant is to elect a specific compound as disclosed. The reply must also identify the claims

readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a

claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless

accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of

claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the

Art Unit: 4133

limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner: Species A: claims 1-21; Species B: claims 1-21.

The following claim(s) are generic: claims 1-21.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the species represent numerous compounds with different structures, modes of operation, and effects. The numerous compounds will also have different effects on different biochemical and physiological systems.

Applicant is cautioned that election of a compound which is not itself as elected specifically disclosed as filed may be considered New Matter.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and

Art Unit: 4133

specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention. Furthermore the examiner may find if necessary to further restrict the elected invention once depending on applicant's election and the state of the associated art.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J. Teale whose telephone number is (571) 272-6897. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Thursdays from 7:30 am to 5:00 pm and on alternate Fridays from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/527,686

Art Unit: 4133

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Stucker, can be reached at (571)-272-0911. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)-273-8300.

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED